

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,449	08/26/2003	Jesse D. Crum	WK/4/US	5756
. 7590 01/27/2005			EXAMINER	
WARD KRAFT, INC.			RESAN, STEVAN A	
P.O. BOX 938 FORT SCOTT, KS 66701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1773		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/649,449	CRUM, JESSE D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stevan A. Resan	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second in the second	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		(070.440)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)				

Art Unit: 1773

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Fischer et al US 3108824, Nelson et al US 3895220, or Kachi et al US 4658125 in view of Deetz US 5843329 for the reasons of record.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 10 January 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants appear to be relying upon part of the newly amended claim language: i.e. "personalized magnetic communication".

However the sole patentable distinction between the claimed invention and the prior art cannot reside in the difference in the printed matter. In re Sterling, 21 CCPA 1134, 70 F.2d 910, 21 USPQ 519, 521. The opinion of 21 USPQ at 521 states: "The general physical structure of appellant is admittedly old and such modifications in the adjustment of printed matter thereto as he discloses are mere obvious arrangements of the printing. Patentable novelty cannot be principally predicated on mere printed matter and arrangements thereof". "Therefore, if an arrangement of printed matter does not constitute patentable novelty, it would seem logical that the substance or language of what is printed may not do so."

It is a rule of universal application that an object is not patentable where its novelty consists merely of an arrangement of printed matter Conover v. Coe, 69 App. D.C., 144, 99 F.2d 377, 38 USPQ 309.

Note that each of the primary reference discloses the limitations of claims 1-3 - no hindsight involved. The references essentially anticipate the claimed invention except for the nature of the printed matter that, as shown above, cannot be the patentable feature.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is 571-272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Thurs from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached at 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Application/Control Number: 10/649,449 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEVAN A. RESAN PRIMARY EXAMINER